

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 17 (2006) 68–78

Tetrahedron: **Asymmetry**

Double asymmetric induction in 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of five-membered cyclic nitrones to 2-(5H)-furanones

Sebastian Stecko, Konrad Paśniczek, Margarita Jurczak, Zofia Urbańczyk-Lipkowska and Marek Chmielewski*

Institute of Organic Chemistry of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 01-224 Warsaw, Poland

Received 12 October 2005; accepted 29 November 2005 Available online 9 January 2006

This paper is dedicated to Professor András Lipták on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract—The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrones 1–4 and their enantiomers 2ent–4ent to α , β -unsaturated γ -lactones, such as achiral 9 and D-glycero 10 provides an interesting example of a double asymmetric induction. The reactions are kinetically controlled. Upon heating and prolonged reaction time, however, the reversibility of the cycloaddition was observed and the presence of more stable thermodynamic products detected. Moreover, in the case of lactone 10, a partial racemization did occur and consequently adducts derived from 10ent were formed. Contrary to the corresponding additions involving δ -lactones, where only the *exo* approach of the reactants was observed, γ -lactones added nitrones in both *exo* and *endo* modes. The high preference of an *anti* addition to the terminal hydroxymethyl group in lactone 10 and to the 3-tert-butoxy group of the nitrone was observed; the 4-tert-butoxy substituent plays a secondary role. The *endo* addition of the reactants is energetically more demanding than the *exo* addition and occurs if none of the substituents present in the lactone or nitrone hinders such an approach. Due to the complex steric interactions a single product was formed in two cases only, $2ent/10$ and $3/10$. In one case, $3/9$, a high preponderance of a single adduct was observed.

2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently we have reported that the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrones $1-3$ to the α, β -unsaturated δ -lactones, such as achiral 5, D-glycero 6, DL-glycero 6/6ent, D-erythro 7, and D-threo 8, constitutes an interesting example of a double asymmetric induction, where the chirality elements of each reactant may influence stereoselectivity either in concert or in opposition. $1-3$ The results were interpreted with the assumption that the cycloaddition is kinetically controlled. Such an assumption is reasonable since we have never observed the reversibility of these reactions. In all cases, only the products of an exo approach of the reactants had been noticed. A high preference of the anti addition to the terminal acetoxymethyl group in lactones 6–8 and to the 3-tert-butoxy group of the nitrone 2 and 3 was observed. In the case of the mismatched pairs, the configuration of the 4-O-acetoxy substituent in the lactone

becomes the decisive factor in controlling the outcome of addition.[3](#page-10-0) It has also been shown that the obtained cycloadducts offer an entry to iminosugars with an indolizidine skeleton.[4](#page-10-0) A synthetic strategy leading to the pyrrolizidines and indolizidines via nitrone cyclo-addition has been reported by Tufariello,^{[5](#page-10-0)} Brandi,^{[6](#page-10-0)} Wightman, 7 7 and Holmes⁸ et al. A similar strategy using nitrone esters has also been developed by Denmark et al.^{[9](#page-10-0)}

The results obtained for δ -lactones prompted us to examine similar reactions performed with the fivemembered ring lactones $[2-(5H)$ -furanones] **9** and **10**. To reach a consistent picture of the reaction, we also decided to expand the number of nitrones; in addition to the nitrones 1–3, we also included nitrone 4 as well as the enantiomeric forms of chiral compounds: 2ent, 3ent, and 4ent [\(Figs. 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0).

2. Results and discussion

Working with δ -lactones and nitrones 1–3, we have found that the cycloaddition reactions were cleaner

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +48 22 632 66 81; e-mail: chmiel@icho.edu.pl

^{0957-4166/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.11.027

Figure 1. exo Approach of the nitrone 4 to the lactone 9.

and gave higher yields when both components were allowed to react initially at room temperature and then at reflux at the end of the reaction for only 1 h, to complete the cycloaddition process. $1-3$ If reflux was started from the beginning of the reaction, when high concentrations of both components were present, the formation of by-products derived from the nitrone was observed. The cycloadditions were performed in a toluene solution at room temperature followed by 1 h reflux (individual reaction times are given in [Table 2](#page-7-0)).

In 1993 Font et al.^{[10](#page-10-0)} reported the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between nitrone 1 and lactone 9. When both components of the reaction were refluxed in a toluene solution, racemic exo-11 and endo-12 adducts were obtained in a ratio of about 3:1, respectively (Scheme 1).

The single asymmetric induction in the cycloaddition of chiral nitrone 2 to the non-chiral lactone 9 has been reported by Brandi et al.^{[11](#page-10-0)} The authors have noticed

formation of two cycloadducts 13 and 14 in a ratio of 84:16, respectively, as a result of an exo approach of the dipole to both sides of the dipolarophile. In light of Font et al.'s report, 10 one would expect *exo* rather than endo approach of reactants anti in relation to the 3-tert-butoxy group in the nitrone. Reinvestigation of the reaction performed by Brandi et al. 11 under our standard conditions showed that indeed the minor product 14 was a consequence of endo approach [\(Scheme 2\)](#page-2-0). The configuration of 14 was easily proven by the NOE's, which showed spin–spin interactions between H-1a, H-4a, and H-4b protons, and by the coupling constant $J_{4a,4b} = 10.2$ Hz, indicating the syn orientation of both protons. The configuration of 13 was proven by X-ray crystal structure analysis ([Fig. 3](#page-2-0)). Enantiomers 13ent

Figure 2. (a) and (b) endo transition states of cyclic nitrones to γ - and δ -lactones; (c) endo transition state of oxazoline N-oxide to δ -lactone.

Scheme 2.

Figure 3. Molecular structure of the compound 13 with the crystallographical numbering scheme. 20 20 20

and 14ent were obtained by cycloaddition between 9 and 2ent.

The shift of the *tert*-butoxy substituent in the nitrone from position 3 to 4 (compounds 4/4ent) changed the preference of the reactants approach. In the reaction with 9, three products *exo-anti* 15/15ent, *exo-syn* 16/ 16ent, and *endo-anti* 17/17ent were obtained in a $35:53:12$ ratio,[†] respectively (Scheme 3). The configuration of these cycloadducts was determined by the ¹H NMR (coupling constants and NOE's) and X-ray diffraction analysis for 15 and 16 isomers (Figs. 4 and 5).

Scheme 3.

The unexpected preference for the exo-syn adduct 16 prompted us to check the influence of the solvent on

Figure 4. Molecular structure of the compound 15 with the crystallographical numbering scheme. 20 20 20

Figure 5. Molecular structure of the compound 16 with the crystallo-graphical numbering scheme.^{[20](#page-10-0)}

the ratio of the diastereomeric products. It was demonstrated [\(Table 1](#page-3-0)) that the increased polarity of the solvent did not significantly affect proportions of adducts, however, it decreased the rate of cycloaddition. The preference for exo-syn approach could be explained on the assumption that the conformation of nitrone 4 in the transition state of the cycloaddition resembles the ground-state conformation of 4. On the basis of the sum of coupling constants of H-4 ($\Sigma J = 22.0$ Hz), the conformation of 4 should be ascribed as 4E with the nitrone moiety located in the flat part of the ring (such a geometry is reflected to a certain extent in the crystalline state of 16; Fig. 5). The out of plane C-4 carbon atom but not the equatorially positioned tert-butoxy group, decides the preference for the syn addition [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)).

The introduction of two *anti* located *tert*-butoxy substituents to the nitrone molecule (3/3ent) eliminated the possibility of endo addition to 9 due to the steric interaction of one or the other tert-butoxy substituent with the lactone ring. Consequently, two exo adducts 18/18ent

[†] Average values for reactions of both nitrones 4/4ent. For details see [Table 2](#page-7-0), entries 6 and 7.

Table 1. Influence of solvent in 1,3-cycloaddition of nitrone 4 to the lactone 9

Solvent	Composition of the post-reaction mixture $(\%)$			Time	Yield $(\%)$
	15 (exo-anti)	16 $(exo-syn)$	17 (endo-anti)		
PhCH ₃	40	50	10	50 _h	82
C_6H_6	36.4	49.5	14.1	49 h	76
THF	43	45	12	7 d	85
CH_2Cl_2	41	47	12	7 d	66
$n - C_6H_{14}$	48	40.5	11.5	14 d	49
CH ₃ CN	50	38	12	10d	55
DMF	51	37.5	11.5	10d	71
CH ₃ OH	45	44.5	10.5	14 d	64

and 19/19ent were obtained in a ratio of about $93:7[‡]$ respectively (Scheme 4). The high preference for the anti addition of the lactone 9 to the 3-tert-butoxy group of the nitrone 3 is similar to that observed for addition of 3 to 5.1 5.1

Scheme 4.

Cycloaddition of the non-chiral nitrone 1 and the chiral lactone 10 afforded two exo adducts 20 and 21 in a ratio of about 70:30 (Scheme 5). Careful examination of the post-reaction mixture did not reveal any traces of the endo product, which was expected considering the result of addition of 2 and 9 [\(Scheme 2](#page-2-0)). On the other hand, it is worth comparing this result with that found for the corresponding reaction of the same nitrone 1 and the δ -lactone 6 when the *exo-anti* adduct was formed exclusively.^{[3](#page-10-0)}

Scheme 5.

The use of a chiral nitrone and a chiral lactone created a double asymmetric induction system, which was different from that observed during addition of nitrones 2 and [3](#page-10-0) to lactones $6-8$,³ because both *exo* and *endo* approaches are possible. It should be stressed that the mismatched pairs require a longer reaction time. This may cause partial racemization of lactone 10 via a hydroxy-furan^{[12](#page-10-0)} and consequently one can expect a degree of contamination introduced by adducts derived from lactone 10ent.

The mismatched pair, nitrone 2 and lactone 10 gave three cycloadducts: exo-syn 22, exo-anti 23 and endo-anti 24 to the 3-tert-butoxy group of the nitrone in a ratio of about 21:27:52, respectively [\(Scheme 6\)](#page-4-0). The configuration of endo-anti adduct 24 was determined by X-ray structure analysis (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. Molecular structure of the compound 24 with the crystallo-graphical numbering scheme.^{[20](#page-10-0)}

The main product of the cycloaddition between 2 and 10, adduct 24, was refluxed in a toluene solution for 24 h to examine possible reversibility of the reaction ([Scheme 6\)](#page-4-0). The post-reaction mixture showed the unreacted endo adduct 24 and two new products: the exo-anti adduct 23 and a cycloadduct 25ent (identified as an enantiomer of adduct 25) in a ratio of about 8:1:3, respectively. Further heating lead to a significant decomposition. Addition of triethylamine accelerated the racemization of lactone 10 and resulted (after 8 d of reflux) in the formation of mixture of 25ent (derived from the matched pair, 2 and 10ent) and 24 in a ratio of about 2.7:1, respectively. The reversibility of the investigated cycloaddition can be better demonstrated when the endo adduct 14 was heated at reflux to provide only the 13 after 5 d of heating. These observations unequivocally proved the reversibility of cycloaddition investigated.

Average values for reactions of both nitrones 3/3ent. For details see, [Table 2](#page-7-0), entries 4 and 5.

Scheme 6.

Consequently, at a higher temperature and upon prolongation of the reaction time, one can expect that the kinetically controlled mixture of cycloadducts may show the presence of more stable, thermodynamic products. Moreover, as observed by us, racemization of 10^{12} 10^{12} 10^{12} shifts the composition of the reaction mixture toward the formation of products derived from 10ent. In light of the reversibility of the investigated cycloadditions, the disagreement in the assignment of configuration of 14 can be explained in terms of the isolation by us and by Brandi et al. 11 of different products.

Cycloaddition between matched pairs: the nitrone 2ent or 3 with lactone 10, led to the sole exo-anti adducts in each case, 25 and 26 (Fig. 7), respectively (Scheme 7). Compare this result with formation of 25ent upon heating of 24 (Scheme 6).

Figure 7. Molecular structure of the compound 26 with the crystallo-graphical numbering scheme.^{[20](#page-10-0)}

Cycloaddition between 3 and 10 showed that the introduction of an additional, anti located tert-butoxy substituent to C-4 of the nitrone 2ent (3) did not change the conformational preferences of the reaction (Scheme 7). In both cases, either the presence of hydroxymethyl or 3-tert-butoxyl group excluded the possibility of an endo approach of reactants.

The use of nitrone 3ent and lactone 10 created a mismatched pair and consequently led to the formation of three products 27, 28, and 29 in a ratio of about 45:32:23, respectively [\(Scheme 8\)](#page-5-0). The direct comparison of these results with those found for the addition of 2 to 10 was noteworthy. It showed the influence of the 4-tertbutoxy substituent in the nitrone, which led to a significantly reduced content of endo adduct and simultaneous increase of the content of both exo adducts.

As could be expected, cycloaddition of the nitrone 4 with the lactone 10 afforded two adducts, exo-syn 30 and exo-anti 31 ([Fig. 8](#page-5-0)) in a ratio of about 21:79, respectively ([Scheme 9\)](#page-5-0). In comparison to cycloaddition of 4

Scheme 8.

Figure 8. Molecular structure of the compound 31 with the crystallographical numbering scheme.²

Scheme 9.

and 9, the added presence of hydroxymethyl group in the lactone molecule caused disappearance of the endo adduct and the exo -anti adduct 31 became the main product. At the same time, removal of the 3-tert-butoxy substituent from the nitrone, besides the disappearance of endo addition, caused a significant increase of exoanti addition (31), in comparison to the corresponding adduct formed in reaction of 3ent with 10.

The replacement of 4 by its enantiomer 4ent during the cycloaddition with lactone 10 led to the formation of exo-syn product 32 as the main component of the post-reaction mixture (73%). Since the steric interactions that hinder the endo approach were removed, compound 32 was accompanied by the endo-anti adduct 33 (27%). The hydroxymethyl group in the lactone influenced the direction of asymmetric induction more than the 4-tert-butoxy substituent in the nitrone (Scheme 10).

The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of the five-membered ring nitrones and unsaturated γ -lactones was sterically controlled. The hydroxymethyl group of lactone and the 3-tert-butoxy group of nitrone play a decisive role in the stereochemical pathway of the reaction. The bulky 4-tert-butoxy group only plays a minor role. Due to the pseudo-rotation arrangement of five-membered ring nitrones, assignment of the ground-state conformation of nitrones 1–3 is not straightforward, except for the nitrone 4/4ent, therefore any speculation on the stereochemical models of cycloaddition on this basis is groundless. In contrast to the Diels–Alder cycloadditions, 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions usually do not show a significant preference for *endo* addition since secondary orbital interactions are not strong enough. According to the explanation provided in the paper by Garcia Rua-no et al.^{[13](#page-10-0)} the *endo*/*exo* selectivity in polar cycloadditions could be elucidated by favorable coulombic interactions between the nitrone positively charged and the furanone, negatively charged, at the corresponding endo transition state. This interaction is lower for folded and larger six-membered ring of δ -lactones ([Fig. 2b](#page-1-0)) than for flat γ -lactones [\(Fig. 2a](#page-1-0)). Therefore, in the case of the addition of cyclic five-membered nitrones to δ -lactones the endo addition has not been observed, though the formation of a minute amount of the endo adduct has been reported by Font et al.^{10b} during the reaction between 1 and 10. Recently Langlois et al.^{[14](#page-10-0)} repeated the endo approach of oxazoline N-oxide and unsaturated δ -lactone in the mismatched pair and this has been explained by the interaction between the positively charged iminoether fragment in the nitrone and the lactone carbonyl group [\(Fig. 2c](#page-1-0)).

In order to explain the high preference of the *anti* approach of nitrones to the terminal acetoxymethyl group in 6, we have postulated an advantage of axial attack of the nitrone oxygen atom. $1-3$ This preference and the lack of endo addition, together with the steric interactions introduced by substituents in both reactants, cause the cycloadditions involving δ -lactones to usually result in the predominance of one adduct or, in many cases, produce a single product. On the other hand, the γ -lactones can react in endo mode, as well. Consequently, the reaction trajectories are more complicated and formation of a single cycloadduct was observed in a few cases only. Moreover, upon prolongation of the reaction time, at higher temperature, the reversibility of the cycloaddition, as well as the racemization of 5-substituted 2-(5H)-furanones may additionally complicate the composition of the post-reaction mixture. Therefore, bearing in mind a potential use of adducts in a target-oriented synthesis, cycloadditions involving δ -lactones offer certain advantages over those involving γ -lactones.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

Melting points were determined using a Köfler hot-stage apparatus with microscope and are uncorrected. Proton and carbon NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker DRX 500 Avance Spectrometer at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively, using deuterated solvents and TMS as a internal standard. Chemical shifts are reported as δ values in parts per million and coupling constants are in hertz. Infrared spectra were obtained on an FT-IR-1600 Perkin–Elmer spectrophotometer. The optical rotations were measured with a JASCO J-1020 digital polarimeter. High resolution mass spectra were recorded on AMD 604 Inectra GmBH spectrometer (EI, 70 eV) and on ESI-TOF Mariner spectrometer (Perspective Biosystem). X-ray analysis was performed on Nonius MACH3 diffractometer.

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum sheets silica gel 60 F_{254} (20 × 20 × 0.2) from Merck. Column chromatography (CC) was carried out using Merck silica gel 230–400 mesh. The TLC spots were visualized by treatment with alcoholic solution of ninhydrine (spray) and heating.

All solvents were dried and purified by standard techniques. Lactone 9 was obtained according to Nasmar and Pensar protocol^{[15](#page-10-0)} and lactone 10 was prepared fol-lowing a known procedure.^{[16](#page-10-0)} Nitrones $1,^{17}$ $1,^{17}$ $1,^{17}$ 2/2ent,^{[18](#page-10-0)} 3/ 3ent^{[19](#page-10-0)} and 4/4ent¹⁹ were obtained following the literature procedures.

3.2. Nitrones 4 and 4ent

3.2.1. (4S)-4-tert-Butoxy-1-pyroline N-oxide 4. α +13.1 (c 0.9, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ

6.86 (1H, m, H-2), 4.50 (1H, m, ΣJ 22.0 Hz, H-4), 4.11 $(1H, m, H-5), 3.85$ $(1H, m, H-5), 3.03$ $(1H, m, H-3),$ 2.67 (1H, m, H-3'), 1.20 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6)$: δ 133.87, 74.69, 69.47, 65.41, 39.03, 28.18; IR (film): v 3402, 2975, 1588 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₈H₁₅NO₂: 157.11028. Found: 157.11078.

3.2.2. $(4R)$ -4-tert-Butoxy-1-pyroline N-oxide 4ent. $[\alpha]_D = -13.3$ (c 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_8H_{15}NO_2$: 157.11028. Found: 157.11054.

3.3. Cycloaddition of nitrones 1–4 to lactones 9 and 10

3.3.1. General procedure. A lactone (1 equiv) and nitrone (1.4 equiv) were dissolved in dry toluene and stirred at room temperature for 40–50 h and then under reflux for 1 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After removal of solvent, the residue was purified on a silica gel column to afford the corresponding cycloadducts. The reaction times, yields and ratios of cycloadducts are reported in [Table 2](#page-7-0).

3.3.1.1. (1aR,4aS,4bR,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 13. Colorless needles, mp 150–151 °C (hexane/benzene/diethyl ether 1:1:1); $[\alpha]_D = -3.5$ (c 0.5, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6)$: δ 4.15 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 2.0 Hz, H-20), 4.06 (1H, m, J 7.3, 5.9, 2.0 Hz, H-1a), 3.89 (1H, br d, J 4.5 Hz, H-4b), 3.76 (1H, m, J 7.9, 4.5, 4.0 Hz, H-5), 3.72 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 5.9 Hz, H-2), 3.20–3.10 (2H, m, H-7), 2.82 (1H, dd, J 7.3, 0.9 Hz, H-4a), 2.04 (1H, m, J 13.0, 8.3, 8.0, 7.9 Hz, H-6), 1.55 (1H, m, J 13.0, 7.9, 4.2, 4.0 Hz, H-6'), 1.16 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 175. 85 (C=O), 78.12 (C-4b), 77.35 (C-5), 76.09 (C-1a), 73.90 (C–Ot-Bu), 72.78 (C-2), 54.60 (C-7), 52.70 (C-4a), 33.93 (C-6), 28.51 (t-Bu); IR (film): $v \neq 1758 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HR MS (ESI): $m/z \neq [M+H^+]$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{20}NO_4$: 242.1387. Found: 242.1376.

3.3.1.2. (1aS,4aR,4bR,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 14. Colorless crystals, mp 83–85 °C (benzene/diethyl ether 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = -31.9$ (c 1.3, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 4.83 (1H, br d, J 5.8 Hz, H-5), 3.99 (1H, dd, J 5.6, 4.3 Hz, H-1a), 3.81 (1H, br d, J 10.5 Hz, H-4b), 3.77 (1H, d, J 10.9 Hz, H-2'), 3.29 (1H, dd, J 10.9, 4.3 Hz, H-2), 3.25 (1H, ddd, J 13.3, 6.8, 1.7 Hz, H-7'), 3.14 (1H, ddd, J 13.3, 11.5, 5.6 Hz, H-7), 2.75 (1H, dd, J 10.4, 5.7 Hz, H-4a), 2.00 (1H, m, J 13.0, 6.8, 6.8, 5.9 Hz, H-6), 1.54 (1H, ddd, J 13.0, 5.6, 1.7 Hz, H-6'), 1.19 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 174.96 (C=O), 78.42 (C-1a), 77.68 (C-4b), 74.20 (C-Ot-Bu), 71.78 (C-5), 68.60 (C-2), 55.10 (C-7), 51.03 (C-4a), 33.43 (C-6), 28.49 (*t*-Bu); IR (film): v 1755 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for C₁₂H₂₀NO₄: 242.1387. Found: 242.1393.

3.3.1.3. (1aS,4aR,4bS,5R)-5-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 13ent. Colorless crystals, mp $149-151$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +5.6$ (c 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4$ 241.13141. Found 241.13158.

EA: ethyl acetate; H: hexane; E: methyl-tert-butyl ether.

^a Including 1 h heating.

 $\rm{^{b}$ Ref. 10b.

^c Compounds 22, 23, and 24 were separated by a two stage column chromatography. The first stage separated cycloadduct 23 from the mixtures 22 and 24 using ethyl acetate/hexane 4:1 v/v as an eluent. Subsequently the mixtures 22 and 24 was separated using methyl-tert-butyl ether/hexane 4:1 v/v as an eluent.

3.3.1.4. $(1aR, 4aS, 4bS, 5R)$ -5-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 14ent. Colorless crystals, mp $83-86$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:2); $[\alpha]_D = +33.3$ (c 0.5, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4$: 241.13141. Found: 241.13176.

3.3.1.5. (1aS,4aR,4bR,6S)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 15. Colorless crystals, mp 129–130 °C (toluene/diethyl ether 2:1); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D} = +52.9$ (c 1.0, CH_2Cl_2); $\mathrm{^{1}H}$ NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6): \delta$ 4.18 (1H, m, J 7.9, 6.6, 5.8, 3.2 Hz, H-6), 4.06 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 2.2 Hz, H-2'), 3.96 (1H, m, J 7.6, 6.2, 2.2 Hz, H-1a), 3.91 (1H, dd, J 8.7, 8.0 Hz, H-4b), 3.62 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 6.2 Hz, H-2), 3.53 (1H, dd, J 14.5, 6.6 Hz, H-7), 2.88 (1H, dd, $J = 14.5$, 5.8 Hz, H-7'), 2.57 (1H, d, J 7.6 Hz, H-4a), 1.61 (1H, ddd, J 13.3, 8.0, 3.2 Hz, H-5'), 1.45 (1H, ddd, $J = 13.3, 8.7, 7.9$ Hz, H-5), 0.95 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 175.49 (C=O), 75.99 (C-1a), 73.63 (C-2), 72.99 (C–Ot-Bu), 72.66 (C-6), 69.76 (C-4b), 64.24 (C-7), 53.39 (C-4a), 39.33 (C-5), 28.29 $(t-Bu)$; IR (film): v 1764 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z $[M+Na^{+}]$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4Na$: 264.1206. Found: 264.1217.

3.3.1.6. (1aR,4aS,4bS,6S)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 16. Colorless crystals, mp $104-105$ °C (toluene/diethyl ether 4:1); $[\alpha]_D = -13.4$ (c 1.5, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6)$: δ 4.28 (1H, m, J 6.6, 5.0 Hz, H-1a), 4.00 (1H, d, J 10.6 Hz, H-2'), 3.79 (1H, m, J 7.5, 6.4, 1.7 Hz, H-4b), 3.58 (1H, m, J 6.5, 6.3, 5.1, 3.8 Hz, H-6), 3.55 (1H, dd, J 10.6, 5.0 Hz, H-2), 3.12 (1H, dd, J 13.7, 6.3 Hz, H-7), 3.00 (1H, dd, J 13.7, 3.7 Hz, H-7'), 2.74 (1H, dd, J 6.6, 1.7 Hz, H-4a), 1.68 (1H, m, J 13.2, 7.5, 6.5 Hz, H-5), 1.50 (1H, m, J 13.2, 6.4, 5.1 Hz, H-5'), 0.92 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 176.33 (C=O), 76.70 (C-1a), 73.31 (C–Ot-Bu), 71.71 (C-6), 71.47 (C-2), 69.48 (C-4b), 63.77 (C-7), 54.81 (C- $(4a)$, 39.34 (C-5), 28.16 (t-Bu); IR (film): v 1773 cm⁻¹;

HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₂₀NO₄: 242.1387. Found: 242.1398.

3.3.1.7. (1aR,4aS,4bR,6S)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 17. Colorless crystals, mp $128-130$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = +76.0 \text{ } (c \text{ } 0.5, \text{ } CH_2Cl_2);$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.18 (1H, m, J 7.0, 6.3, 4.9, 3.7 Hz, H-6), 4.04 (1H, dd, J 6.6, 4.9 Hz, H-1a), 3.83 (1H, ddd, J 9.3, 8.4, 7.7 Hz, H-4b), 3.77 (1H, d, J 11.0 Hz, H-2'), 3.50 (1H, dd, J 14.5, 6.3 Hz, H-7), 3.30 (1H, dd, J 11.0, 4.9 Hz, H-2), 2.96 (1H, dd, J 14.5, 4.9 Hz, H-7'), 2.80 (1H, dd, J 9.3, 6.6 Hz, H-4a), 2.20 (1H, m, $J = 13.6, 8.3, 7.0$ Hz, H-5), 1.88 (1H, ddd, J 13.6, 7.7, 3.7 Hz, H-5'), 0.94 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 174.56 (C=O), 78.63 (C-1a), 73.26 (C-Ot-Bu), 72.56 (C-6), 69.06 (C-2), 67.24 (C-4b), 63.72 (C-7), 52.32 (C-4a), 36.65 (C-5), 28.24 (t-Bu); IR (film): \hat{v} 1782 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+Na⁺] calcd $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4Na$: 264.1206. Found: 264.1219.

3.3.1.8. (1aR,4aS,4bS,6R)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 15ent. Colorless crystals, mp $124-126$ °C (benzene–hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ –48.3 (c 0.3, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z calcd $[M^+]$ C₁₂H₁₉NO₄: 241.13141. Found: 241.13106.

3.3.1.9. (1aS,4aR,4bR,6R)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 16ent. Colorless crystals, mp $99-101$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +18.2$ (c 0.6, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z $[M^+]$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4$: 241.13141. Found: 241.13094.

3.3.1.10. (1aS,4aR,4bS,6R)-6-tert-Butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 17ent. Colorless crystals, mp 127–129 °C (benzene–hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D$ -74.5 (c 0.5, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C12H19NO4: 241.13141. Found: 241.13177.

3.3.1.11. (1aS,4aR,4bS,5S,6S)-5,6-Di-tert-butoxyhexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 18. Colorless crystals, mp $103-105$ °C (toluene/diethyl ether 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +28.1 (c \cdot 0.5, CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR: (500 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 4.35 (1H, dd, J 6.2, 4.3 Hz, H-1a), 3.98–3.93 $(2H, m, H-4b, H-2')$, 3.79 $(1H, dd, J, 3.9, 3.1 Hz, H-5)$, 3.71 (1H, m, J 5.7, 5.3, 3.9 Hz, H-6), 3.61 (1H, dd, J 10.7, 4.3 Hz, H-2), 3.45 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 5.7 Hz, H-7), 2.88 (1H, dd, J 6.2, 2.7 Hz, H-4a), 2.79 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 5.3 Hz, H-7'), 1.16 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.97 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 176.09 (C=O), 82.34 (C-5), 77.21 (C-1a), 76.65 (C-6), 75.84 (C-4b), 74.22 (C–O, t-Bu), 73.74 (C–Ot-Bu), 69.87 (C-2), 61.03 (C-7), 54.21 (C-4a), 28.74 (t-Bu), 28.30 (t-Bu); IR (film): \hat{v} 1777 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{16}H_{27}NO_5$: 313.18892. Found: 313.18789.

3.3.1.12. (1aR,4aS,4bR,5S,6S)-5,6-Di-tert-butoxyhexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 19. Colorless crystals, mp $123-125$ °C (toluene/diethyl ether 2:1); $[\alpha]_D = +12.2$ (c 0.3, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 4.00 (1H, ddd, J 6.9, 5.1, 1.3 Hz, H-1a), 3.98– 3.93 (2H, m, H-4b, H-2'), 3.90 (1H, m, J 8.9, 7.2, 6.3 Hz, H-6), 3.77 (1H, dd, J 7.5, 6.3 Hz, H-5), 3.52 (1H, dd, J 10.4, 5.1 Hz, H-2), 3.36 (1H, dd, J 6.9, 1.7 Hz, H-4a), 3.28 (1H, dd, J 14.0, 7.2 Hz, H-7'), 2.84 (1H, dd, J 14.0, 8.9 Hz, H-7), 1.08 (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.00 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 175.80 (C=O), 77.69 (C-1a), 77.47 (C-5), 76.71 (C-6), 74.55 (C– Ot-Bu), 73.15 (C–O, t-Bu), 71.57 (C4b) 71.37 (C-2), 60.47 (C-7), 50.20 (C-4a), 28.59 (t-Bu), 28.57 (t-Bu); IR (film): $v \neq 1777 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}NO_5$: 313.1962. Found: 314.1976.

3.3.1.13. (1aR,4aS,4bR,5R,6R)-5,6-Di-tert-butoxyhexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one **18ent.** Colorless crystals, mp $105-107$ °C (toluene/ diethyl ether 2:1); $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D}$ -28.5 (c 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₁₆H₂₇NO₅: 313.18892. Found: 313.18809.

3.3.1.14. (1aS,4aR,4bS,5R,6R)-5,6-Di-tert-butoxyhexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one **19ent.** Colorless crystals, mp $123-124$ °C (toluene/ diethyl ether 2:1); $[\alpha]_D$ -11.2 (c 0.2, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₁₆H₂₇NO₅: 313.18892. Found: 313.18822.

3.3.1.15. $(1aS, 2R, 4aR, 4bR)$ -2-Hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 20. Colorless crystals, mp 77–80 °C (hexane/benzene 1:1); $[\alpha]_D =$ +10.5 (c 0.6, $\widehat{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2}$); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $\widehat{\text{C}_6\text{D}_6}$): δ 4.50 (1H, d, J 7.1 Hz, H-1a), 4.41 (1H, m, H-2), 3.6 (1H, m, H-4b), 3.45 (1H, dd, J 12.3, 2.7 Hz, CHHOH), 3.22 (1H, dd, J 12.3, 2.5 Hz, CHHOH), 3.16 (1H, d, J 7.1 Hz, H-4a), 3.12 (1H, ddd, J 13.3, 7.7, 3.8 Hz, H-7), 2.68 (1H, m, H-7⁰), 1.69–1.58 (1H, m, H-6), 1.52–1.42 (1H, m, H-5), $1.27-1.10$ (2H, m, H-5', H-6'); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 176.96 (C=O), 85.81 (C-2), 78.87 (C-1a), 70.47 $(C-4b)$, 62.42 $(CH₂OH)$, 56.03 $(C-7)$, 55.89 $(C-4a)$, 29.88 $(C-5)$, 24.23 $(C-6)$; IR (film, CH_2Cl_2): v 3364, 1766 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₉H₁₃NO₄: 199.08446. Found: 199.08491.

3.3.1.16. (1aR,2R,4aS,4bS)-2-Hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 21. Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D = -1.8$ (c 0.3, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6)$: δ 3.96 (1H, dd, J 7.9, 5.8 Hz, H-1a), $3.92-3.84$ (3H, m, H-2, CH₂OH), 3.43 (1H, m, H-4b), 2.99 (1H, ddd, *J* 14.1, 7.9, 3.3 Hz, H-7'), 2.55 (1H, d, J 7.9 Hz, H-4a), 2.33 (1H, dt, J 14.1, 8.7, 8.7 Hz, H-7), $1.57-1.50$ (1H, m, H-6), $1.33-1.23$ (1H, m, H-5'), $1.08-$ 1.00 (1H, m, H-6), 0.95–0.88 (1H, m, H-5); 13 C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{ C}_6\text{D}_6)$: δ 174.48 (C=O), 82.63 (C-2), 76.89 $(C-1a)$, 70.50 $(C-4b)$, 60.37 (CH_2OH) , 55.76 $(C-7)$, 54.72 (C-4a), 29.57 (C-5), 24.01 (C-6); IR (film): m 3379, 1770 cm^{-1} ; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C9H13NO4: 199.08446. Found: 199.08463.

3.3.1.17. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bS,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**4(3H)-one 22.** Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D = +19.0$ (c 0.9, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.58 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 1.1 Hz, H-1a), 4.33 (1H, m, J 2.9, 2.5, 1.3 Hz, H-2), 3.86 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 1.5 Hz, H-4a), 3.81 (1H, dd, J 7.3, 1.3 Hz, H-4b), 3.64 (1H, m, J 7.3, 7.1, 5.9 Hz, H-5), 3.22 (1H, dd, J 12.2, 2.9 Hz, CHHOH), 3.19 $(1H, ddd, J 13.1, 7.7, 3.5 Hz, H-7', 3.00 (1H, dd, J)$ 12.2, 2.5 Hz, CHHOH), 2.63 (1H, ddd, J 13.2, 10.0, 7.1 Hz, H-7), 1.73 (1H, dddd, J 12.7, 10.0, 7.7, 5.9 Hz, H-6'), 1.50 (1H, m, J 12.7, 7.1, 7.1, 3.5 Hz, H-6), 0.96 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 177.89 $(C=0)$, 85.02 $(C-2)$, 80.28 $(C-1a)$, 73.93 $(C-0t-Bu)$, 73.74 (C-4b), 71.58 (C-5), 62.50 (CH₂OH), 53.30 (C-7), 51.74 (C-4a), 34.12 (C-6), 28.15 (t-Bu); IR (film): m 3452, 1770 cm^{-1} ; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for $C_{13}H_{22}NO_5$: 272.1492. Found: 272.1499.

3.3.1.18. (1aR,2R,4aS,4bR,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**4(3H)-one 23.** Colorless crystals, mp $112-113$ °C (benzene/diethyl ether 3:1); $[\alpha]_D = -4.7$ (c 0.7, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.99 (1H, dd, J 8.1, 6.4 Hz, H-1a), 4.64 (1H, ddd, J 6.4, 4.9, 3.3 Hz, H-2), 4.03–4.00 (2H, m, CHHOH, H-5), 3.95 (1H, dd, J 12.5, 4.9 Hz, CHHOH), 3.79 (1H, br d, J 5.0 Hz, H-4b), 3.62 (1H, br d, J 8.1 Hz, H-4a), 3.42 (1H, ddd, J 14.3, 8.0, 3.1 Hz, H-7), 3.35 (1H, m, J 14.3, 9.5, 8.0 Hz, H-7'), 2.34 (1H, m, J 13.3, 9.5, 8.0, 7.7 Hz, H-6), 1.74 (1H, m, J 13.3, 8.0, 3.3, 3.1 Hz, H-6'), 1.21 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 174.50, 82.55, 77.71, 74.03, 60.38, 60.03, 54.86, 53.49, 33.47, 29.70, 28.51; IR (film): v 3401, 1771 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for C₁₃H₂₂NO₅: 272.1492. Found: 272.1479.

3.3.1.19. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bR,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**4(3H)-one 24.** Colorless crystals, mp $109-111$ °C (toluene); $[\alpha]_D = -25.6$ (c 0.9, $\overrightarrow{CH}_2Cl_2$); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 4.85 (1H, br d, J 5.8 Hz, H-5), 4.36 (1H, d, J 5.7 Hz, H-1a), 4.07 (1H, dd, J 2.7, 2.3 Hz, H-2), 3.89 (1H, br d, J 10.6 Hz, H-4b), 3.52 (1H, dd, J 10.6, 5.7 Hz, H-4a), 3.31 (1H, ddd, J 13.3, 6.8, 1.7 Hz, H-7'), 3.22-3.15 (2H, m, H-7, CHHOH), 2.94 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 2.3 Hz, CHHOH), 2.07 (1H, m, J 13.0, 11.7, 6.8, 5.9 Hz, H-6), 1.57 (1H, ddd, J 13.0, 5.6, 2.0 Hz, H-6'),

1.19 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 175.6 $(C=0)$, 81.31 (C-1a), 81.03 (C-2), 77.72 (C-4b), 74.3 (C-Ot-Bu), 72.00 (C-5), 62.34 (CH₂OH), 55.18 (C-7), 52.51 $(C-4a)$, 33.4 $(C-6)$, 28.4 $(t-Bu)$; IR $(film)$: $v \overline{3616}$, 1766 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for C13H22NO5: 272.1492. Found: 272.1482.

3.3.1.20. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bS,5R)-5-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 25. Colorless crystals; mp $68-70$ °C (benzene); $[\alpha]_D = +39.0$ (c 0.6, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): d 4.82 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 1.0 Hz, H-1a); 4.58 (1H, m, J 2.6, 2.4, 1.0 Hz, H-2), 4.09 (1H, ddd, J 7.5, 4.4, 3.9 Hz, H-5), 3.95 (1H, dd, J 12.4, 2.6 Hz, CHHOH), 3.78 (1H, dd, J 12.4, 2.4 Hz, CHHOH), 3.75 (1H, br d, J 4.4 Hz, H-4b), 3.61 (1H, d, J 7.0 Hz, H-4a), 3.45–3.30 (2H, m, H-7, H-7'), 2.57 $(1H, br s, OH), 2.31 (1H, m, H-6), 1.75 (1H, m, H-6'),$ 1.21 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 176.19 (C=O), 85.04 (C-2), 78.77 (C-1a), 78.36 (C-4b), 77.38 (C-5), 73.51 (C–Ot-Bu), 62.40 (CH₂OH), 54.72 (C-7), 54.67 (C-4a), 33.88 (C-6), 28.49 (t-Bu); IR (film): μ 3426, 1769 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{13}H_{21}NO₅: 271.1497. Found: 271.14191.$

3.3.1.21. (1aR,2S,4aS,4bR,5S)-5-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-4(3H)-one 25ent. A solution of 24 $(0.014 g,$ 0.052 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was refluxed for 24 h. After this time, the solvent was evaporated and residue was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/hexane 2:1 v/v as an eluent giving 1 mg of 23, 3 mg of 25ent, and 8 mg of the substrate 24; α _D = -31.6 (c 0.1, CH₂Cl₂); HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{13}H_{21}NO_5$ 271.1497. Found 271.14076.

3.3.1.22. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bS,5S,6S)-2-Hydroxymethyl-5,6-di-tert-butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-2(3H)-one 26. Colorless crystals, mp 148– 150 °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +22.7$ (c 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.86 (1H, d, J 6.0 Hz, H-1a), 4.37 (1H, m, J 2.5, 2.2 Hz, H-2), 4.04 (1H, dd, J 2.9, 2.5 Hz, H-4b), 3.91 (1H, dd, J 3.8, 2.5 Hz, H-5), 3.78 (1H, ddd, J 5.5, 5.2, 3.7 Hz, H-6), 3.69 (1H, dd, J 6.0, 2.9 Hz, H-4a), 3.64 (1H, br dd, J 12.0, 2.5 Hz, CHHOH), 3.58 (1H, dd, J 12.1, 5.5 Hz, H-7), 3.34 (1H, br d, J 12.0 Hz, CHHOH), 1.54 (1H, dd, J 12.1, 5.2 Hz, H-7'), 1.18 (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.02 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR: (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 177. 60 (C=O), 82.75 (C-2), 82.27 (C-5), 80.73 (C-1a), 76.49 (C-6), 76.04 (C-4b), 62.35 (CH₂OH), 61.28 $(C-7)$, 60.12 $(C-Ot-Bu)$, 55.82 $(C-4a)$, 28.68 $(t-Bu)$, 28.26 (*t*-Bu); IR (film): v 3430, 1774 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₁₇H₂₉NO₆: 343.19949. Found: 343.198595.

3.3.1.23. (1aR,2R,4aS,4bR,5R,6R)-2-Hydroxymethyl-5,6-di-tert-butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]iso**xazol-4(3H)-one 27.** Colorless oil; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{D} = -38.6$ (c 0.2, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.34 (1H, dd, J 6.8, 5.0 Hz, H-1a), 4.06 (1H, ddd, J 5.5, 5.0, 4.9 Hz, H-2), 3.91 (1H, dd, J 4.2, 2.2 Hz, H-4b), 3.83 (1H, dd, J 12.2, 5.5 Hz, CHHOH), 3.78 (2H, m, H-5, CHHOH), 3.68 (1H, ddd, J 6.0, 4.7, 4.0 Hz, H-6), 3.26 (1H, dd, J 13.0, 6.0, H-7), 2.98 (1H, dd, J 6.8, 2.2 Hz, H-4a), 2.84 (1H, dd, J 13.0, 4.7 Hz, H-7'), 2.45 (1H, br s, OH), 1.14 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.93 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 175.03 (C=O), 82.5 (C-5), 81.34 (C-2), 77.70 (C-6), 77.32 (C-1a), 72.23 (C-4b), 74.16 (C–Ot-Bu), 73.32 (C–O, t-Bu), 61.25 (C-7), 60.57 (CH2OH), 55.00 (C-4a), 28.87 $(t-Bu)$, 28.30 $(t-Bu)$; IR (film) : v 3421, 1775 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₁₇H₂₉NO₆: 343.19949. Found: 343.20003.

3.3.1.24. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bS,5R,6R)-2-Hydroxymethyl-5,6-di-tert-butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]iso**xazol-4(3H)-one 28.** Colorless crystals, mp $140-142$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:2); $[\alpha]_D = -8.8$ (c 0.3, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.57 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 1.1 Hz, H-1a), 4.29 (1H, m, J 2.9, 2.4, 1.3 Hz, H-2), 4.09–4.00 (2H, m, H-6, H-4b), 3.91 (1H, dd, J 7.0, 1.8 Hz, H-4a), 3.85 (1H, dd, J 7.2, 6.4 Hz, H-5), 3.39 (1H, dd, J 13.8, 7.0 Hz, H-7'), 3.23 (1H, dd, J 12.2, 2.9 Hz, CHHOH), 3.03 (1H, dd, \dot{J} 12.2, 2.4 Hz, CHHOH), 2.89 (1H, dd, J 13.8, 8.6 Hz, H-7), 1.09 (9H, s, t-Bu), 0.99 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 177.00 (C=O), 83.84 (C-2), 80.59 (C-1a), 77.48 (C–Ot-Bu), 77.51 (C-5), 76.83 (C-4b), 71.63 (C-6), 62.38 (CH_2OH) , 60.50 (C-7), 51.62 (C-4a), 28.55 (t-Bu), 28.53 (*t*-Bu); IR (film): v 3426, 1774 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for C₁₇H₂₉NO₆: 343.19949. Found: 343.20062.

3.3.1.25. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bR,5R,6R)-2-Hydroxymethyl-5,6-di-tert-butoxy-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]iso**xazol-4(3H)-one 29.** Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D = -32.5$ (c 0.2, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 5.00 (1H, dd, J 3.0, 1.1 Hz, H-5), 4.19 (1H, dd, J 2.8, 2.3 Hz, H-2), 4.00 (1H, ddd, J 4.7, 4.5, 3.0 Hz, H-6), 3.84 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 1.0 Hz, H-4b), 3.40 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 4.7 Hz, H-7), 3.36 (1H, dd, J 10.3, 6.1 Hz, H-4a), 3.22 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 4.5 Hz, H-7'), 3.12 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 2.8 Hz, CHHOH), 2.88 (1H, dd, J 12.0, 2.3 Hz, CHHOH), 1.25 (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.11 (9H, s, t-Bu); IR (film): m 3413, 1764 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{17}H_{29}NO_6$: 343.19949. Found: 343.20039.

3.3.1.26. (1aR,2R,4aS,4bS,6S)-6-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-2- (3*H*)one 30. Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D = -22.0$ (c 0.2, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.18 (1H, dd, J 7.6, 5.8 Hz, H-1a), 3.98 (1H, ddd, J 5.7, 4.9, 4.2 Hz, H-2), 3.92 (1H, dd, J 12.2, 4.2 Hz, CHHOH), 3.87 (1H, dd, J 12.2, 4.9 Hz, CHHOH), 3.62 (1H, m, H-4b), 3.59 (1H, dddd, J 9.7, 7.2, 7.1, 3.7 Hz, H-6), 3.13 (1H, br s, OH), 3.05 (1H, dd, J 14.6, 3.7 Hz, H-7⁰), 2.89 (1H, dd, J 14.6, 7.1 Hz, H-7), 2.73 (1H, d, J 7.6 Hz, H-4a), 1.65 (1H, dt, J 13.0, 7.2, 7.2 Hz, H-5), 1.42 (1H, ddd, J 13.0, 9.4, 6.3 Hz, H-5'), 0.93 (9H, s, *t*-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 175.04 (C=O), 82.41 (C-2), 76.93 (C-1a), 73.28 (C–Ot-Bu), 72.68 $(C-5)$, 69.35 $(C-4b)$, 63.42 $(C-7)$, 60.44 $(CH₂OH)$, 54.74 $(C-4a)$, 39.06 $(C-5)$, 28.30 $(t-Bu)$; IR $(film)$: v 3379, 1772 cm^{-1} ; HR MS (ESI): m/z [M+Na⁺] calcd for C13H21NO5Na: 294.1312. Found: 294.1325.

3.3.1.27. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bR,6S)-6-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**4(3H)-one 31.** Colorless plates, mp $114-116$ °C (benzene/diethyl ether 4:1); $[\alpha]_D = +77.3$ (c 1.0, CH_2Cl_2); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.80 (1H, br d, J 7.3 Hz, H-1a), 4.59 (1H, m, J 2.6, 2.4, 1.2 Hz, H-2), 4.47 (1H, m, J 7.1, 6.7, 6.1, 4.5 Hz, H-6), 4.02 (1H, t, J 8.1, 8.0 Hz, H-4b), 3.91 (1H, dd, J 12.5, 2.6 Hz, CHHOH), 3.72 (1H, dd, J 12.5, 2.4 Hz, CHHOH), 3.58 (1H, dd, J 14.6, 6.7 Hz, H-7), 3.45 (1H, d, J 7.3 Hz, H-4a), 2.94 (1H, dd, J 14.6, 6.1 Hz, H-7'), 2.04–1.92 (2H, m, H-5, H-5'), 1.16 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 176.84 (C=O), 86.36 (C-2), 78.76 (C-1a), 73.13 (C–Ot-Bu), 72.58 (C-6), 69.74 (C-4b), 64.27 (C-7), 62.43 (CH2OH), 55.37 (C-4a), 394.22 $(C-5)$, 28.30 (*t*-Bu); IR (film): v 3402, 1768 cm⁻¹; HR MS (ESI): m/z calcd [M+H⁺] C₁₃H₂₂NO₅: 272.1492. Found: 272.1497.

3.3.1.28. (1aR,2R,4aR,4bR,6R)-6-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**2(3H)-one 32.** Colorless crystals, mp $147-149$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = +21.3$ (c 0.2, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.60 (1H, d, J 6.6 Hz, H-1a), 4.27 (1H, br t, J 3.0, 2.3 Hz, H-2), 3.83 (1H, dt, J 7.2, 6.5, 1.8 Hz, H-4b), 3.59 (1H, m, J 12.2, 6.0, 3.8, H-6), 3.25 (1H, dd, J 6.7, 1.8 Hz, H-4a), 3.19 (1H, br d, J 12.2 Hz, CHHOH), 3.14 (1H, dd, J 13.9, 6.4 Hz, H-7), 3.08 (1H, dd, *J* 13.9, 3.7 Hz, H-7'), 2.97 (1H, br d, J 12.2, CHHOH), 1.67 (1H, m, H-5), 1.54 (1H, m, H-5'), 0.94 (9H, s, t-Bu); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 176.42 (C=O), 83.00 (C-2), 81.00 (C–Ot-Bu), 74.44 (C-1a), 70.69 (C-6), 69.46 (C-4b), 64.15 (C-7), 62.51 (CH_2OH) , 56.22 (C-4a), 39.47 (C-5), 28.22 (*t*-Bu); IR (film): v 3419, 1769 cm⁻¹; HR MS (EI): m/z [M⁺] calcd for $C_{13}H_{21}NO_5$: 271.14197. Found: 271.14077.

3.3.1.29. (1aS,2R,4aR,4bS,6R)-6-tert-Butoxy-2-hydroxymethyl-hexahydrofuro[3,4-d]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoxazol-**2(3H)-one 33.** Colorless crystals, mp $113-115$ °C (benzene/hexane 1:1); $[\alpha]_D = -84.4$ (c 0.1, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.47 (d, 1H, J 6.5 Hz, H-1a), 4.22 (ddt, 1H, J 3.8, 4.8, 6.3, 6.8 Hz, H-6), 4.13 (t, 1H, J 2.4, 2.8 Hz, H-2), 3.91 (br q, 1H J 8.3, 8.4, 9.4 Hz, H-4b), 3.55 (dd, 1H, J 6.3, 14.5 Hz, H-7), 3.46 (dd, 1H, J 6.5, 9.4 Hz, H-4a), 3.20 (dd, 1H, J 2.8, 12.1 Hz, CHHOH), 3.02 (dd, 1H, J 4.9, 14.5 Hz, H-7'), 2.96 (dd, 1H, J 2.4, 12.1 Hz, CHHOH), 2.26 (ddd, 1H, J 6.8, 8.3, 13.6 Hz, H-5), 1.92 (ddd, 1H, J 3.8, 7.8, 13.6 Hz, H-5'), 0.96 (s, $9H$, $t-Bu$); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 175.33 (C=O), 81.58 (C-1a), 81.31 (C-2), 73.29 (C–Ot-Bu), 72.61 (C-6), 67.26 (C-4b), 63.75 (C-7), 62.61 (CH₂OH), 53.84 (C-4a), 36.75 (C-5), 28.25 $(t - B\hat{u})$; IR $(\hat{f} = \hat{f} + \hat{g})$ $\hat{f} = \hat{f} + \hat{g}$ $\hat{f} = \hat{f} + \hat{g}$ $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ \hat{g} $\hat{g} = \hat{g}$ \hat{g} $\hat{g} = \hat{g}$ \hat{g} $\hat{g} = \hat{g}$ \hat{g} $\hat{g} = \hat{g}$ \hat{g} $\$ (ESI): m/z [M+H⁺] calcd for C₁₃H₂₂NO₅: 272.14925. Found: 272.15000.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Informatics Grant # 3 T09A 025 28.

References

- 1. Jurczak, M.; Rabiczko, J.; Socha, D.; Chmielewski, M.; Cardona, F.; Goti, A.; Brandi, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 2015–2022.
- 2. Socha, D.; Jurczak, M.; Frelek, J.; Klimek, A.; Rabiczko, J.; Urbańczyk-Lipkowska, Z.; Suwińska, K.; Chmielewski, M.; Cardona, F.; Goti, A.; Brandi, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 3163–3172.
- 3. Pas´niczek, K.; Socha, D.; Jurczak, M.; Frelek, J.; Suszczyn´ska, A.; Urban´czyk-Lipkowska, Z.; Chmielewski, M. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2003, 22, 613–629.
- 4. Socha, D.; Jurczak, M.; Chmielewski, M. Carbohydr. Res. 2001, 336, 315–318.
- 5. Tufariello, J. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 369–403.
- 6. (a) Goti, A.; Fedi, V.; Nannelli, L.; De Sarlo, F.; Brandi, A. Synlett 1997, 577–579; (b) Goti, A.; Cicchi, S.; Fedi, V.; Nannelli, L.; Brandi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3115– 3119; (c) Goti, A.; Cardona, F.; Brandi, A. Synlett 1996, 761–763; (d) Goti, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cacciarini, M.; Cardona, F.; Fedi, V.; Brandi, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 3633– 3645; (e) Goti, A.; Cacciarini, M.; Cardona, F.; Cordero, F. M.; Brandi, A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1367–1369; (f) Pisanneschi, F.; Della Monica, C.; Cordero, F. M.; Brandi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5711–5714; (g) Cordero, F. M.; Pisaneschi, F.; Gensini, M.; Goti, A.; Brandi, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1941–1951.
- 7. McCaig, A. E.; Meldrum, K. P.; Wightman, R. H. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9429–9446.
- 8. Holmes, A. B.; Bourdin, B.; Collins, I.; Davison, A.; Rudge, A.; Stork, T.; Warner, J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1997, 69, 531–536.
- 9. (a) Denmark, S. E.; Thorarensen, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 125–137; (b) Denmark, S. E.; Hurd, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2875–2886; (c) Denmark, S. E.; Cottell, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4276–4284.
- 10. (a) Cid, P.; de March, P.; Figueredo, M.; Font, J.; Milan, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 667–670; (b) Alonso-Perarnau, D.; de March, P.; Figueredo, M.; Font, J.; Soria, A. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 4267-4274.
- 11. Goti, A.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. M.; Fedi, V.; Brandi, A. Molecules 1999, 4, 1–12.
- 12. Panfil, I.; Abramski, W.; Chmielewski, M. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1998, 17, 1395–1403.
- 13. Garcia Ruano, J. L.; Fraile, A.; Martin Castro, A. M.; Rosario Martin, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8825–8834.
- 14. (a) Dirat, O.; Kouklovsky, C.; Lanlglois, Y.; Lesot, Ph.; Courtieu, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 3197–3205; (b) Kouklovsky, C.; Dirat, O.; Berranger, Th.; Lanlglois, Y.; Tran-Huu-Dau, M. E.; Riche, C. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5123–5128.
- 15. (a) Nasman, J.; Pensar, K. Synthesis 1985, 8, 786–787; (b) Nasman, J. Org. Synth. 1989, 68, 162-174.
- 16. (a) Schmid, C.; Bryant, J. Org. Synth. 1995, 72, 6–13; (b) Mann, J.; Weymouth-Wilson, C. Org. Synth. 1998, 75, 139–145.
- 17. Cordero, F. M.; Machetti, F.; De Sarlo, F.; Brandi, A. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1997, 127, 25–29.
- 18. (a) Cicchi, S.; Höld, I.; Brandi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5274–5275; (b) Ballini, R.; Marcantoni, E.; Petrini, M. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1316–1318.
- 19. Cicchi, S.; Goti, A.; Brandi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4743–4748.
- 20. Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, Cambridge, UK, as a supplementary publications: 13 (CCDC 282892), 15 (CCDC 282893), 16 (CCDC 282896), 24 (CCDC 282895), 26 (CCDC 282897), 31 (CCDC 282894).